

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO DIVISION**

C.H. ROBINSON COMPANY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

**J.R. PRODUCE AND FOOD
SERVICE, INC.; DANIEL
ENRIQUEZ HERNANDEZ; and
MARCOS ENRIQUEZ HERNANDEZ,**

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. EP-24-CV-245-KC

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

On this day, the Court considered United States Magistrate Judge Robert F. Castaneda’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), ECF No. 25. On July 21, 2025, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (“Motion”), ECF No. 24. The Court referred the Motion to Judge Castaneda. July 22, 2025, Text Order.

Judge Castaneda filed the R&R on August 22, 2025, recommending that the Court grant in part and deny in part Plaintiff’s Motion. Parties have fourteen days from a service of a Report and Recommendation of a United States Magistrate Judge to file written objections. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).¹ Over fourteen days have elapsed since the R&R, and no objections have been filed.

When parties do not file written objections, courts apply a “clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law” standard of review to a report and recommendation. *United*

¹ Federal district courts conduct de novo review of those portions of a report and recommendation to which a party has objected. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (“A judge . . . shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report . . . to which objection is made . . .”).

States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989). After reviewing the R&R, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and finds that they are neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. *See id.*

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the R&R, ECF No. 25, in its entirety, and **GRANTS IN PART** and **DENIES IN PART** Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, ECF No. 24. Defendants J.R. Produce and Food Service, Inc.; Daniel Enriquez Hernandez; and Marcos Enriquez Hernandez are jointly and severally liable and **SHALL PAY** Plaintiff \$20,145.00 in attorneys' fees and \$870.50 in costs of court.

The Clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 9th day of September, 2025.



KATHLEEN CARDONE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE